



WONG Kin Kan

30/09/2010 12:33

To <ccc@fhb.gov.hk>

cc

bcc

Subject amended 骨灰龕 consultation in green

Urgent  Return receipt  Sign  Encrypt

Dear Sir,

I have some suggestions on the following points:

(1)

#### IV. 加強規管私營骨灰龕:

8. I agree that the 發牌制度 should be adopted to 私營骨灰龕. Just like the 元朗新圍村私營骨灰龕「明月山」, the whole process of construction is really horrible, like the means they collect land, the conflicts between neighbouring villagers, the destroying of 歷史建築. ( I am one of the villagers there )

9. 你認為政府應如何處理現時未獲認可的私營骨灰龕？

First, for the insufficient 骨灰龕位. The development of private 骨灰龕 is the fault of the government. The government should take the responsibility to settle down all of the 骨灰龕位. You say that the 骨灰龕位 are limited in HK. Shouldn't the government have noticed this problem for a long time? I don't think the exist of private 骨灰龕 could reflect a URGENT NEED of 骨灰龕位 because the government should have done something on it. Otherwise, it is the fault of the government and this fault should be announced! Second, The consumers MUST bare the responsibility of replacing their 骨灰, Why do they take the risk of buying private 骨灰龕位? This is because they are SELFISH, One on hand, they just concern how good the environment of private 骨灰龕 is. On the other hand, They haven't taken the construction process of private 骨灰龕 into serious consideration. This includes the land collections of village people who are poor. IT IS ABSOLUTE TRUE that they have thought the risk and consequence of buying private 骨灰龕位. If they really have no ways to tackle the problems. The consumers may just bring them to their home until there are more 骨灰龕位 provided. Or they follow the legal way, queue up and wait for the public 骨灰龕位.

After answering your question. I want to ask a question. Is the government willing to see and does she accept the land collections methods and allow the developer to destroy the natural environment without a whole planning and consultation? If the answer is NO, then the government should stop all the private 骨灰龕. it is true that we have to respect our 先人. BUT, should the villages be also be respected!? The impact of replacing all private 骨灰龕位 versus all protesters, I think the government should weigh the importance of both of them. For all protesters, they are all alive, they are still contributing to the HK society, the allowance of private 骨灰龕 would less trust the government, the harmony of protesters towards HK.

(2)

#### (六) 現行私營骨灰龕發展的規管

42. 凡不屬表一的私營骨灰龕會列入表二。但在私營骨灰龕名單實施初期，政府難以獲得一份完整無缺的名單，因此這類私營骨灰龕將不能於表二內一一盡錄<--- you should still report this kind of 骨灰龕 with reasons for not including, and with suggested follow up.

當它們被核實為符合表一的要求後，便會被移至表一。<---, I totally disagree with this suggestion. That means the government allows them that they don't have to follow the normal procedure of building a 骨灰龕. The point is not how they improve the final product which is the way they run the 骨灰龕, instead, the concern is the means they used to build such a 骨灰龕. This IS ABSOLUTELY conflict with the normal moral standard. If the government support the point of 當它們被核實為符合表一的要求後，便會被移至表一. That means the HK government has low moral standard as well.

I am writing to complain about that 元朗新圍村私營骨灰龕「明月山」 with the following points, the developers:

- destroy 歷史建築
- illegal build roads and extend the two sides of roads to the 「明月山」, which lead to dangerous consequence like landslide
- violate the 土地契約 reported by the government
- The extended building is still in construction instead of removing. This violate the 法定地政和城市規劃. The 城市規劃 authority has already asked them to remove. Why the government still do not ban their construction!?

- sells the 骨灰龕位 already, but the 「明月山」 is not approved by the government yet
- have started to destroy another 歷史建築 in 元朗新圍村, If the government still does not take any action, there will be several imitated cases. ( this is related to the legalise of 「明月山」 , if the government make it legal, the others should also be legal, but again they violate the principal.)
- no agreements and consultation with villages/local people

I look forward to hearing from you.